IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 9887 OF 2004

Maharashtra Rajya Suraksha Rakshak Sanghatana ...Petitioner

Versus

The Security Guard Board for
Gr. Bombay and Thane and Ors. ...Respondents.

Mr. I.R. Kulkarni for the petitioner.
Mrs. Lata S.Desai for respondent No.1.
Mrs. J.S. Pawar, Additional Government Pleader, for respondent No.3.

CORAM: **DALVEER BHANDARI, C.J.** & **S.A. BOBDE, J.**

DATE: **FEBRUARY 08, 2005**.

P.C.

We have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

2. The case of the petitioner is that out of 62 security guards, only 20 security guards appeared before the first respondent Board and out of them, one security guard fulfilled the criteria of physical fitness and he has been registered. According to the petitioner, the remaining 42 security guards have also applied for registration. This fact is disputed by the learned counsel appearing for respondent No.1. Only on this point, the learned counsel for respondent No.1 agrees to consider the case of remaining 42 security guards, if they apply afresh

within two weeks from today. In case applications are filed within two weeks, the same shall be considered and decided within four weeks thereafter. No further directions are necessary. This petition is accordingly disposed of.

CHIEF JUSTICE

S.A. BOBDE, J.